GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Secrt. II-28—55.000—27-10-5

Pub (CP II) (1952) Department.

~~Endorsement~~
~~D.O.~~
~~Memorandum~~
~~Lodged~~

No. 7012 Pub(CP II)/52-1

Dated 29th Dec 1952

Pass. A. Sent

FURTHER PAPERS.

Department.	Number.	Series.	Date.

7012

Ref.C.No.155/52-B. Office of the Project Executive Officer,
South Kanara, Mangalore, Dt. 18-11-52.

From

Sri.G.Simhadri, B.A.,
Project Executive Officer,
Mangalore.

To

The Director of Community Projects and
Ex-Officio Secretary to Government,
Fort St.George, Madras.

ACCOUNTS & SPECIAL OFFICER,
(COMMUNITY PROJECTS.)
2 DEC 1952
PUBLIC (C. P.) DEPARTMENT.
South Kanara

Sir,

PLANNING COMMISSION - Community Project - South Kanara
Irrigation Scheme - Sanction - Requested.

...

For the reasons stated in my report Ref.C.No.155/52-B dated 14-11-52 enclosed to the Budget Estimate submitted with this Office Ref.2/52 dated 15-11-52, a provision of Rs. 10,70,000/- has been made under Irrigation. The scheme is to repair about 70 tanks, construct about 100 dams and supply about 80 pumping sets in the entire Project area. I request early approval of the scheme.

2. The Assistant Engineer F.P.Sub Division, Mangalore has furnished a list of tank Improvement works sanctioned but not started and which are not likely to be started before the end of 1952-53. All these works are in the Project area. The Assistant Engineer informs me that these works could not be taken up as the Board of Revenue has ordered that fresh tank improvement works should not be taken up for execution until the old ones are completed. I may be informed whether works sanctioned already under other schemes but which are not likely to be taken up soon could be taken up under the Community Project. I am enclosing a copy of the list of such works for your information.

3. The percentage of contribution in the case of Irrigation works has not been stated in Government Memo.No.1923 Pub.(C.P)-1-52-1 dated 17-9-52. In this District, Irrigation works consist of repairs to tanks, putting up masonary dams and supply of pumping sets. In the case of works, 25% contribution may be expected from the villagers in the shape of voluntary labour. It will be difficult to get anything more than this because, there are 80 registered ayacuts under any sources in this district and it will be difficult to induce the beneficiaries to contribute anything more. It was therefore, suggested in my Ref.C.No.13/52 dated 23-9-52 in reply to the Government Memo. referred to above that 25% contribution may be expected in the case of works. No orders have been received so far. I request early orders. I ma also be informed whether contribution is a condition precedent to taking up a work or whether the work could be taken up even if no contribution is forthcoming or only a lesser contribution is forthcoming.

4. It is proposed to supply pumping sets to Co-Operative Societies and individuals on hire purchase system, the cost to be recovered in equal instalments. No instructions have so far been received regarding the maximum number of instalments that may be granted for the recovery of the cost of pumping set I request early orders in the matter.

5. I also request instructions in the following points:-

(a) Who is the authority ent to scrutinize the estimates and accord technical sanction? Is it the Executive Engineer certifies after checkmeasurement?
(b) Who is the authority to checkmeasure? Does it suffice if a list of works proposed to be taken up in Block I and a list of such works proposed to be taken up in 1952-53 are enclosed

PROJECT EXECUTIVE OFFICER.

List of Tank Improvement Works sanctioned but not started and which are not likely to be started before end of 52-53.

S.No.	Name of work.	Survey No.	C.R.or D.R. or B.P.Rt Nos.	Estimate amount.
	Mangalore Taluk.			Rs.
1.	Mulur.	101/1	C.R.134/51-52	3450/-
2.	Panambur.	109/13	D.R.218/51-52.	440/-
3.	Kulai.	5/24	D.R.244/51-52	2750/-
4.	Balkunje.	34/1	D.R.246/51-52.	3800/-
5.	Derebail.	41/7	B.P.Rt.1017/7 x 50	1300/-
6.	Markada Upper.	74/7	D.R.202/51-52.	1700/-
7.	Markada Lower.	74/7	D.R.204/51-52.	2250/-
8.	Kavathar.	137	C.R.174/51-52.	8900/-
9.	Inna.	243	C.R.27/52-53.	2600/-
10.	Kuriyala.	23/17	C.R.25/52-53.	850/-

Sd/
For Assistant Engineer (F.P)
Sub Division, Mangalore.

/True copy/

List of Tank Improvement Works sanctioned but not started and which are not likely to be started before end of 52-53.

S.No.	Name of work.	Survey No.	C.R.or D.R. or B.P.Rt Nos.	Estimate amount.
	Mangalore Taluk.			Rs.
1.	Mulur.	101/1	C.R.134/51-52	3450/-
2.	Panambur.	109/13	D.R.218/51-52.	440/-
3.	Kulai.	5/24	D.R.244/51-52	2750/-
4.	Balkunje.	34/1	D.R.246/51-52.	3800/-
5.	Derebail.	41/7	B.P.Rt.1017/7 x 50	1300/-
6.	Markada Upper.	74/7	D.R.202/51-52.	1700/-
7.	Markada Lower.	74/7	D.R.204/51-52.	2250/-
8.	Kavathar.	137	C.R.174/51-52.	8900/-
9.	Inna.	243	C.R.27/52-53.	2600/-
10.	Kuriyala.	23/17	C.R.25/52-53.	850/-

Sd/
For Assistant Engineer (F.P)
Sub Division, Mangalore.

/True copy/

LIST OF WORKS UNDER IRRIGATION IN THE FIRST BLOCK.

S.No. (1)	Name of the village. (2)	Details of work. (3)	Rough estimate (4)
1.	Kannur.	One tankto be repaired at Bairodi.	
2.	Bajal.	Construction of a tank at Kaidel.	
3.	Kankanady.	Deepening of Kankanady, Jeppu Channel.	
4.	Jeppinamogru.	Dam at Kadekar.	
5.	Derebail.	Tank in S.No.41 to be repaired.	
6.	Konaje	Improvement of the Konaje-Belman-	
7.	Ambalamogru	Mannur-Ambalamogru channel. Cross bunding the channel at Ambalamogru village.	
8.	Kotekar.	Deepening of the stream running towards Someshwar.	
9.	Talapady.	Repairs to tank Bajankara Madaga.	
10.	Manjanady.	Dam at Katteramar.	
11.	Muchur.	Muchur and Kompadavu stream repairs A dam at Kayarmogru.	
12.	Kompadavu.	Repairs to stream running from Kompadavu to Paduperar.	
13.	Badagayedapadavu.	Repairs to tank near Gopalakrishna Temple.	
14.	Muduperar.	Repairs to tank at Kokkad. Constructing a tank at Gurukabla.	
15.	Mullar.	Repairs to spring pond near 10th mile. River side to be revetted.	
16.	Ulaibettu.	Repairs to tank at Permanki and Kaiguni.	
17.	Kudupu	Dams at Mandara and near Kudupu bridge.	
18.	Arkula.	A dam at Arkula Bailu.	
19.	Mogaru.	A dam at Nara Arabi water fall.	
20.	Kolavur.	Repairs to tank at Kanjunady and at Panja	
21.	Badagayekkar.	A dam at Kateel Katta.	
22.	Permude.	Repairs to tank at Bhatrakere.	
23.	Suranje.	A dam at Puchadi.	
24.	Idya.	Improvement to tank at Indrabatta.	
25.	Hosabettu.	Repairs to tank at Thavrakola. Repairs to Porambokе thoude.	
26.	Mulai	Tank in S.No.5/24	
27.	Baikampady	Dam across Kudumbur thodu	
28.	Panambur	Dam near Panambur. Improvement to tank in S.No.109/13.	

1	2	3	4
29.	Thannirbhavi.	One dam at Balappa Poojari Katta.	
30.	Marakada.	Improvement to tank in S,No.74/7 (Upper and Lower tanks)	
31.	Kunjathabail.	Improvement to tank at Deppuni Guthu and Thodar.	
32.	Thokur.	A dam at Nejikad, Konkanikatta and Ammiaru.	
33.	Mudushedde.	Repairs to tank in S.No.100. Construction of masonry bund for channel.	
34.	Padushedde.	Deepening of Thodus.	
35.	Ullal.	Cross bunding Banasala Thodu and repairs to three tanks in Peramunnur village/ tank that is in Government land Deepening of the channel at Ullalbail.	
36.	Jeppinamogaru.	Deepening of the tank near Shamayya Sheregara's house. Cross bunding at Belithodu.	
37.	Bala.	Repairs to Kalavar temple tank.	
38.	Surathkal.	Cross bunding bk of a thodu near Krishnapur Mutt.	
39.	Hejmady.	Cross bunding thoudu near Tile factory.	
40.	Bappanad.	A bund at Mundgundi. 3 tanks Panchannal Kere, Gurkatte Kere, Guddepathi, Mamekere.	
41.	Karnad.	Improvement of tank known as Sheni kere (Karnad village)	
42.	Padupanambur.	Desilting the Padupanambur stream. A dam at Shedi Katte.	
43.	Sashihithalu.	A dam at Kudru. Repairs to tank at Bobbariya Kere.	
44.	Pavanje.	A dam at Arandu,	
45.	Haleangady.	Cross bunding the Haleangady - Chellairu stream, repairs to Kerekudu.	
46.	Thokkur.	Three tanks one at Kamlbabettu, near Madiyaristane, one near Subramanya temple and the last near Bhima kere.	
47.	Koikude.	A dam at Nani.	
48.	Panja.	Repairs to Panja Katta.	
49.	Kilanjur.	A dam at Uchadi Katte.	
50.	Kemral.	Two tanks S.No.110/1 and S.No.49 to be repaired.	
51.	Talipady.	Repairs to Baki Katte. Construction of Masonary dam at Punarur repairs to tank at S.No.244.	
52.	Athikaribettu.	Repairs to tank at Matti. A dam at Gajani.	

1	2	3	4
53.	Shimanthur.	A bund at Madarikatte and two tanks for repairs one at Bolipakere and other at Vorekodu.	
54.	Elathur.	Masonary dams at Guthima Katte and Padumane katte.	
55.	Kavathur.	Repairs to tank Murukere S.No.137.	
56.	Karnire.	A masonary dam at Panady.	
57.	Balkunje.	Repairs to Tank Kedigi Palla.	
58.	Inna.	Tank in S.No.243. Masonary dam at Kallatta, Kariakatte.	
59.	Ulepady.	Three tanks one near Ulepady, Guthu, one at Kadama and the other at Mithabettu. A masonary dam known as Paddanaguthu Katte. Slit clearance of poramboke tank Guthukere in Ulepady village.	
60.	Elenje.	Masonary dam at Thavade Katte.	
61.	Aikala.	Masonary dam known as Mugalatta.	
62.	Mennabettu.	Masonary dam at Kachettu.	
63.	Kondamula.	Masonary dam at Palakatte.	
64.	Mundkur.	Slit clearance of a poramboke tank in Mundkur village. Construction of bund across Panarkatte.	

.....

LIST OF WORKS PROPOSED TO BE TAKEN UP FOR EXECUTION IN THE FIRST BLOCK IN 1952-53

S.No.	Name of the Village	Details of work	Rough estimate
1	2	3	4
		IRRIGATION	
1.	Kannur	One tank to be repaired	
2.	Joppinamogaru	Dam at Kadekar	
3.	Derebail	Tank in S.No.41 to be repaired	
4.	Mulur	Repairs to spring pond near 10th mile	
		River side to be revetted	
5.	Iddya	Improvement to tank at Indrakatta	
6.	Kulai	Tank in S.No.5/24	
7.	Panambur	Dam near Panambur. Improvements to tank In S.No. 109/13	
8.	Marakada	Improvement to tank in S.No.74/7 (Upper and lower tanks)	
9.	Mudushedde	Repairs to tank in S.No.400 Construction of maso ary bund for channels	
10.	Surathkal	Cross bunding of a Thodu near Krishnapur Mutt	
11.	Kavathar	Repairs to tank Murukere in S.No.137	
12.	Inna	Dam at Kainalkatta Dam at Kallappa in S.No.243	
13.	Karnad	Improvement of tank known as Shenikere	
14.	Talipadi	Repairs to tank in S.No.244	

Pub (CP)

Memo No. 7012 Pub (CP II)/52-1D 29-12-52

Sub: C.P. — S. Kanara Project Area — Irrigation Schemes.

Ref: From the P.E.O. Mangalore No. Ref. C. 155/52-B dated 18th Nov. 52

The P.E.O. Mangalore is informed that the questions relating to carrying out Irrigation Schemes was discussed thoroughly with the Secy, C.P.A of the Accountant-Appellate CPT, whereon and as a result of the discussion the following directions should be observed.

2. The C.P.A" funds are not to be utilised for maintenance works in Schemes involving the restoration of irrigation sources to their peak efficiency. The State Govt being the local authority deriving taxes from these sources, should foot the entire bill. The local representatives of the depts concerned with the works should therefore be induced to take necessary action in such matters. If, however, the ryots on account of the necessity to have the needs immediately attended to, are prepared to meet the entire cost of restoration of tanks, channels etc the dt. officer concerned may be induced to attend to them immediately, or in the alternative under the Project Officers may afford the necessary technical assistance to the agriculturists to have the work done by themselves In cases where the owners of the lands are willing to contribute a portion of the cost towards the maintenance works and the local officer is not in a position to attend to them the P.E.O. may report the full details to Govt when arrangements will be made to have the work executed by the concerned Head of the dept. as expeditiously as possible so that the overall improvement of the area may not suffer. These remarks will apply to strengthening the bunds of the Canals in existence and the digging up of silted tanks & channels.

3. There is no objection to new tanks & channels being constructed at the cost of C.P. Funds. The main test for debiting the cost will depend as to the addl. acreage to be brought under cultivation etc. by execution of such schemes. It should be noted in this connection that the entire provision for irrigation schemes will be treated as loan. The loan is returnable to the

Govt. of India in full with interest within a period of 15 yrs. The ryots should normally be able to contribute for such works in full, the Govt providing the technical assistance necessary free. However a major portion of the expenditure alone can be recovered from the ryots the balance will have to be met from State revenues. In all such cases, the necessary estimates & plans should be prepared and ~~sanctioned~~ in consultation with the local E. E. Concerned & submitted to Govt for further action. The same criterion will apply to new dams to be constructed across the channels now in existence. The agreement to pay contribution towards the cost of the works is a condition precedent to any proposal and the actual payment should be insisted before the work is started except in the case of offer of free labour.

4. In the case of pumping sets to be financed from C.P. funds, there is no objection to advance the necessary amounts. The full cost is recoverable together with interest within the maximum period of 5 yrs.

5. Powers have been delegated to the P.E.O., Cotton & D.C.P. and communicated to them, in the matter of according technical & financial sanction. The P.E.O. is competent to give sanction upto the maximum limits imposed on the E. E. & the P.W.D. As regards technical scrutiny, the services of the Concerned local E. E. have availed of or in the alternative they may be sent to Govt. A technical section has been sanctioned and the estimates will be scrutinised and returned expeditiously by this Dept. The check measurements may be made by the local Asst. Engineer on requisition or by the Junior Engineer of the Project Area if the former is not able to do it in time.

6. ~~In view of the smallness of~~ As the Project estimates have not yet been approved by the central committee of the C.P.P., no allotments of funds have been made to the Projects. All estimates for works should therefore be submitted to Govt till funds are allotted. Arrangements will be made to scrutinise the estimates before sanction is accorded. ~~Before any work is sanctioned~~ The Engineer submitting estimates for sanction, it should be clearly indicated what local contribution will be available. The estimates will be sanctioned only for the net amounts and the P.E.O. should see that the local contribution is available

of to the Executive Command.

To the P.E.O. Mayfield

Copy to all other P.E.O.s

" the Comptroller & Co.

" P. Wks & Rly. A.F.H.A. (F.P.)

" C.E. (J)

" Finance.

" C.P. Accounts.

& F. &c.

Public (C.P.II) Department.

C. No. 7012/52.

Sub:- PLANNING COMMISSION - Community Projects - South Kanara Community Project Area - Irrigation schemes - Sanction

Ref:- From the P.E.O., Mangalore, Ref.C.No.155/52-B, d. 18-1

Please see the letter from the P.E.O., Mangalore, in the c.f. He has provided a sum of Rs.10,70,000/- under 'Irrigation' for repairing about 70 tanks, constructing about 100 dams and supplying about 80 pump-sets in the entire project area. He requests approval of the scheme. The approval of the C.P.A. may be awaited.

2. In para 2 of his letter, the P.E.O. requests instructions whether works sanctioned already under other schemes but which are not likely to be taken up soon, could be taken up under the C.P. scheme. The P.E.O. may be informed that the works sanctioned under other schemes need not be included in the C.P. scheme. Subtd. for orders.

3. In para 3 of his letter, the P.E.O. says that the percentage of contribution in the case of irrigation works has not been stated; that 25% contribution may be expected from the villagers in the shape of voluntary labour and nothing more and requests early orders in the matter. He also requests to be informed whether contribution is a condition precedent to taking up a work or whether the work should be taken up even if no contribution is forthcoming or only a lesser contribution is forthcoming.

It is submitted that according to the 'Basic estimate' Rs.20.25 lakhs are provided for 'Irrigation'. This amount is to be granted as a loan by the Central Govt. to the State Govt. The C.P.A. has stated that schemes under 'Irrigation' should be self-financing and that the loans granted should be repaid to them. As the term self-financing was vague, the C.P.A. was asked to clarify as to whether the scheme should be only remunerative as to yield sufficient return to cover the interest on the loan together with the maintenance and other charges or whether it was necessary to recover the entire amount and interest in instalments from the beneficiaries. The C.P.A. has intimated that the entire outlay towards the items together with interest should be recovered from the beneficiaries and credited to the Govt. of India. In view of the clarification of the C.P.A. the entire provision under irrigation can be obtained only if the beneficiaries will be able to pay back the entire cost of works and the maintenance charges including interest on capital during the period fixed for repayment in equated annual instalments. This was placed

Sep. file not put up

for advice before the State Advisory Committee on Community Projects and the Committee has minuted that in the case of old schemes, no recovery should be made and that betterment contribution may be obtained in respect of the new schemes, and that this should be put to the Govt. of India. The Govt. of India in the C.P.Administration are being consulted in the matter. This may await till a decision is reached in that file.

not part up.

Para 4 of the letter:- The P.E.O. requests instructions as to the maximum number of instalments that may be fixed for the recovery of the cost of pumping sets, etc. The C.P.A. has stated separately that in cases where loan is given for the purchase of machinery, the amount should be recovered in five equated annual instalments as they depreciate in value year after year. The Public (C.P.)Accounts will no doubt give general instructions to all the P.E.Os. in the matter.

not part up.

A.

Para 5(a) of the letter:- The P.E.O. requests instructions as to the authority competent to scrutinise the estimates and accord technical sanction. No doubt the Exc. Engr of the area should be the technical authority for scrutinising the estimates

as some technical staff will be appointed in the Sectt. for scrutiny purposes, the P.E.O. may be informed that technical scrutiny will be made in the Secretariat.

Para 5(b) of the letter:- He wants to know the authority to checkmeasure and whether it will suffice if the Junior Engineer certifies after checkmeasurement. It is for consideration whether the Divisional Engineers in respect of road works and the Executive Engineers ~~of the areas~~ for other works (than roads) may be entrusted with the work. P.W.D. may see for remarks.

Cs 15/12/52
17.12.52

Tho' C.P. (Accts.) w.r.t. A above

Proposals with advance Schemes for obtaining necessary loan from C&A have been called for from P.E.O.

not part up. Under view

Cs 17/12/52

These maybe discussed with Sri Goswami of Works on the 23rd Dec. when he comes here with his financial experts

Dy. C.P.

Submitted with reference to the orders on p. 2 ante.

CSs 23/12/52 Pubsp at IIAH on 24/12/52

23/12/52

With reference to the discussion which the CPI had with D.C.P. Jaipur I have drafted the reply to the P.E.O. Nagaland. Copies are marked for other P.E.Os, Addl. C.E. (D), P.W.D. & Finance. The draft contains general directions for the guidance of all persons.

In the case of check measurements, there is a separate Chief. Cannot stand at the time of framing of Officials of the regular P.W.D. who may not be co-operative in spirit. As most of the work will be entrusted on partnership basis and to the villagers themselves, there will be practically no abuse of this work is entrusted to the Junior Engineer of the Project Areas.

The draft may therefore issue.

25/12/52

DCP

Issued as Memo No. 7012 Pub(CPI)/52-1 dt 27.12.52

— x —